

### **REMARKS**

This is intended to be a complete response to the Official Action mailed September 20, 2004, in which claims 1-6 were rejected and claims 7 and 8 were objected to by Examiner. Applicant has amended claims 7 and 8 herein. Claims 1-6 have been canceled without prejudice.

### **35 USC § 103 Rejection of Claims 1-6**

In the Office Action mailed September 20, 2004 the Examiner rejected claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Haines, Patent No. 5,560,110 in View of Wales, Patent No. 2,364,011. Applicant has canceled claims 1-6, thereby making the rejection of these claims moot.


### **Allowable Subject Matter**

In the Office Action mailed September 20, 2004 the Examiner indicated claims 7 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The Applicant has rewritten claims 7 and 8 in independent form to include all the limitations of the rejected base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant therefore submits claims 7 and 8 as rewritten are now in a condition for allowance and respectfully requests the Examiner to issue a Notice of Allowance.

### **Conclusion**

This amendment is intended as a complete response to the Office Action Mailed on September 20, 2004. Applicant's Attorney would welcome the opportunity to discuss this Amendment with Examiner should Examiner have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Sorocco', written over a horizontal line.

Douglas J. Sorocco  
Reg. No. 43,145  
DUNLAP CODDING & ROGERS, P.C.  
P.O. Box 16370  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73113  
Telephone: 405/607-8600  
Facsimile: 405/607-8686

Attorney for Applicant